

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,355	05/01/2001	Tetsuo Nakamura	Q64193	2615
75	590 02/28/2002			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			CHEA, THORL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1752	ik
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			N #= 1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/845,355	NAKAMURA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Thorl Chea	1752
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ars on the cover she	et with the correspondence address
Period fo		V IO OFT TO EVOIDE	2 MONITU(S) EDOM
THE N - Extending after to the second of the	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, m ly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 e, cause the application to beco g date of this communication, e	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	<u>May 2001</u> .	
2a) <u></u> □	,	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	rance except for forma r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	I matters, prosecution as to the merits is 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	ion of Claims		·
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	n.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration	1.
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requiremer	ıt.
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b	)  disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	⊠ All b)  Some * c)  None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received	i.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received	d in Application No
* (	3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	lureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
	a)  The translation of the foreign language p		
15)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
Attachmer		л П	amiliany Cummany (BTO 413) Paner No(a)
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ler:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/845,355

Art Unit: 1752

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Claims 4, 5, and 7 objected to because of the following informalities: the notation of n, p, m associated to formulae (II), (III), (IV), (V), (VI) are not consistent. See especially the number associated therewith, i.e., subscript vs superscript. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The protection sought for claiming of "at least two sensitizing dyes represented by the formula (I)" in claims 1, 11 is unclear since the there is only one dye of formula represented by formula (I) is shown in the claims, and none of the other dyes presented in the claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Miyamoto et al (Miyamoto) and Hioki et al (Hioki).

Miyamoto discloses silver halide emulsion containing dyes within the scope of the claimed invention. Note especially formula (I) in column 2 and the exemplified compounds in columns 5-12 wherein the dyes having a "- $SO_3$ " containing substituent and the other substituents are not the "- $SO_3$ " containing substituent , and these substituents have structure within the scope of the claimed invention. In the abstract, it is disclosed "the silver emulsion is subjected to spectral sensitization with at least one type of a methine compound represented by formula (I). In column 3 lines 10-15, Miyamoto prefers a substituent containing a sulfo group as R2. The most of exemplified compounds in Miyamoto are compounds containing a substituent having "- $SO_3$ " containing substituent and the other substituents containing a dissociable group other than "- $SO_3$ " containing substituent. In the formula (I) in column 2, it is disclosed that "( $X_1$ )<sub>k</sub>" represents anion and k represents a number required to adjust the charge in the molecule to 0.

Hioki in column 5, lines 49-51, discloses that a sulfo group is described as "-SO<sub>3</sub>-", but it can be described as "SO<sub>3</sub>H" when hydrogen ion is presented as a counter ion. The use of counter ions to balance the charge is disclosed in column 2, lines 30-35.

The difference between the claimed invention and that of Miyamoto is the "H" associated with the sulfo group. Miyamoto is silence with respect to the use of hydrogen atom to balance the charge of the dye molecule, but Hioki discloses that "H" can be used to balance the charge of dye molecule containing sulfo group. It would have been

Application/Control Number: 09/845,355

Art Unit: 1752

obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use positively charged hydrogen to adjust the charge in the molecule of the dye taught in Miyamoto, and thereby provide an invention as claimed.

6. Claims 1-6, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hioki et al (Hioki).

Hioki discloses silver halide material substantially as claimed. See the abstract wherein the material contains at least one dye of formula (I) and formula (II); exemplified compound of formula (I) and exemplified of formula (II) in columns 6-9 wherein one of the dye substituents contains "-SO<sub>3</sub>" group and the other contains groups different from "-SO<sub>3</sub>" such as "-CO<sub>2</sub>H" or "CONHSO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>". Hioki may not exemplify the use of dyes having one substituent containing -SO<sub>3</sub>H and the other substituents represent a dissociable group other than that of -SO<sub>3</sub>H; but suggest the use of dye having similar structure to that of the present claimed invention as in combination. It would have been obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to spectrally sensitize silver halide emulsion taught in Hioki using a combination of dyes taught therein to provide an invention as claimed.

#### Conclusion

7. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/845,355

Art Unit: 1752

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thorl Chea whose telephone number is (703)308-3498. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 - 6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet C Baxter can be reached on (703)308-2303. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9301 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

tchea 🍿 February 15, 2002 Thorl Chea Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752